## Survey of Assessment Culture - Student Affairs Scales
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This document provides a summary of the results of the Student Affairs Survey of Assessment Culture, focusing on the four separate scales derived from its items. In Fall 2019, survey administrators at Sam Houston State University invited 80 student affairs staff members from Southeast Community College to participate in the survey; 41 of them participated for a response rate of $51 \%$. The scales were created and validated by Dr. Matthew Fuller and colleagues as described in Fuller and Lane (2017) ${ }^{1}$. Each scale consists of multiple individual survey items.

The scales in the Student Affairs Survey of Assessment Culture survey were validated by Fuller \& Lane (2017) using factor analysis. Factor analysis is a statistical technique for identifying underlying (unobserved/ latent) characteristics that are difficult to measure (in this case 'assessment culture'). These analyses are achieved by grouping responses to multiple survey items that are correlated with each other. Fuller and colleagues identified four factors in the Student Affairs Survey of Assessment Culture. Those four factors, which are described later in the document, are:

- Assessment Communication
- Clear Commitment to Assessment
- Connection to Change
- Fear of Assessment

Respondents indicated how much they agree or disagree with each statement on a scale from 1 to 6 as shown in Table 1. Some items are stated in such a way that agreeing with the statement reflects a positive sentiment (e.g., I like chocolate), whereas agreeing with others indicates a negative sentiment (e.g., I dislike vanilla). The latter type of items were reverse coded in calculating the scale scores so high scores always correspond with positive sentiments (e.g., I do not dislike vanilla).

Table 1. Response set for survey

| Value | Text |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Strongly disagree |
| 2 | Disagree |
| 3 | Only slightly disagree |
| 4 | Only slightly agree |
| 5 | Agree |
| 6 | Strongly agree |

## Calculating the scale scores involved the following steps:

1. Identify items associated with each scale. The items included in each scale are detailed on the following pages.
2. Reverse code responses for specific items, as noted earlier. These items are denoted with an ' $R$ ' at the end of the variable name.
3. Calculate the average of the resulting scores for the items in the scale.
4. The resulting scale scores will range from 1.00 to 6.00 with higher scores representing a more positive sentiment for that factor.
[^0]
## Single scale results

This section of the report provides results for each scale. For each scale, the following content is provided:

- Brief description of the scale provided by Fuller \& Lane (2017).
- The distribution of scale scores with average (mean) score and standard deviation.
- The list of items included in the scale along with item-specific results.
- Notes about the results.

Because the item-specific results are complicated, the following provides an overview of what these charts include and how to understand them.

- These charts provide the items included in the scale presented in descending order of percent of positive sentiment.
- Three values are provided for each item: green bars indicate the percent who agreed with the statement; dark grey indicates the percent who disagreed; and light grey are those who either did not respond or neither agreed nor disagreed.
- The axis in the first column of results splits the positive sentiments (right of axis) from the negative sentiments (left of axis).
- Since some items are reverse-coded, agreeing is not necessarily a positive sentiment. The image below provides two examples.
- For Q5_3R, 67\% of respondents disagreed (indicated by dark grey) that "the purpose of assessment depends largely on who is asking for assessment results" and $31 \%$ agreed with the statement (indicated by green). Because this item is reverse-coded, disagreement is a positive sentiment so disagreement (dark grey) is displayed to the right of the axis and agreement (green) to the left.
- For Q19_10, 67\% of respondents agreed (green) that "change occurs more readily when supported by assessment results" and $18 \%$ disagreed (dark grey). Because the item is not reverse-coded, agreement is displayed to the right of the axis and disagreement is displayed to the left.



## Assessment Communication Scale

Assessment Communication scale focuses on how frequently and how effectively assessment results are shared.


Some notes about these data:

- The Assessment Communication scale has the lowest average score (3.3) and the largest standard deviation (.9) of all four scales. These results demonstrate less positive sentiment and a wide range of responses.
- There is a fairly high proportion of missing data on items in this scale. Items in this scale range from $15 \%$ to $34 \%$ of respondents who did not provide a response. This may be due to many factors, however student affairs staff were more reluctant to answer items in this scale than in the other scales.


## Clear Commitment to Assessment Scale

Clear Commitment to Assessment scale focuses on how committed the institution is to assessment and how the institution has implemented assessment practices.


Some notes about these data:

- The Clear Commitment to Assessment scale has the $2^{\text {nd }}$ lowest average score (3.6) and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ lowest standard deviation (.7) of all four scales.
- The items with the highest amount of positive sentiment are items are related to reasons why assessment efforts are in place (i.e. student learning, evidence of effectiveness). The items with the lowest amounts of positive sentiment focus on how assessment efforts are organized and carried out. This suggests student affairs staff agree with the purpose of assessment, but feel like assessment efforts could be more organized and systematic.


## Connection to Change Scale

Connection to change scale focused on how likely assessment results drive change and the institution's decision making.
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Some notes about these data:

- The Connection to Change scale has the $2^{\text {nd }}$ highest average score (3.8) and the lowest standard deviation (.5) of all four scales. These results indicate relatively high positive sentiment and highly consistent results from student affairs staff. Scale scores are densely distributed close to the mean with no extreme scores.
- These results indicate some skepticism that assessment is vital to my division's way of operating (Q27_12) or that upper student affairs administrators use assessment results in public ways (Q17_9).


## Fear of Assessment Scale

Fear of Assessment scale explored the extent to which student affairs staff believe that assessment is used for punishment or compliance.


Some notes about these data:

- The Fear or Assessment scale has the highest average score (4.1) and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ highest standard deviation (.8) of all four scales. The relatively high mean score indicates that student affairs staff do not feel that assessment is used as punishment. The high standard deviation indicates a wide variety of responses and the presence of extreme scores (both positive and negative). There is some evidence however, that student affairs staff feel that assessment is conducted mostly for compliance purposes (Q5_2R).
- Some of the items in this scale suffer from high rates of missing data. This may be due to many factors, however because of the nature of these questions, student affairs staff may be reluctant to provide responses.


## Comparison of all scales

This section provides an overview of all four scales and how they compare. When evaluating these results, it is important to pay attention to both the average (i.e., mean) scale score as well as the shape and relative symmetry of the distribution of scale scores with the average as the midpoint.
following chart shows the distribution of scale scores as a histogram (light grey) and the overall average scale score (dark grey). The histograms show the number of respondents within the stated range for the individual scale scores.

When evaluating these results, it is important to pay attention to both the average (i.e., mean) scale score as well as the shape and relative symmetry of the distribution of scale scores with the average as the midpoint.


Some implications for these results include:

- The areas of relative strength, as indicated by their higher average scores include the Fear of Assessment scale and Connection to Change scale
- Given the combination of a low average scale and high standard deviation, the Assessment Communication scale appears to an area of focus for improvement.


## Appendix

## I. Selected Items

## Student affairs responses to selected items

Disagree Agree No response

| Q13_2R | Faculty are in charge of assessment at my institution. | 7\% | 85\% | 7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q19_9R | Assessment is a threat to program innovation. | 2\% | 73\% | 24\% |
| Q19_12R | The majority of upper student affairs administrators do not care about assessment. | 7\% | 68\% | 24\% |
| Q27_3R | Assessment is primarily the responsibility of faculty members. | 15\% | 68\% | 17\% |
| Q19_7R | I engage in assessment because I am afraid of what will happen if I do not. | 15\% | 61\% | 24\% |
| Q5_5R | If assessment was not required, I would not be doing it. | 41\% | 59\% | 0\% |
| Q27_1 | Assessment is emphasized as part of the division's culture. | 32\% | 56\% | 12\% |
| Q26_3 | Assessment supports student learning in my division. | 15\% | 54\% | 32\% |
| Q27_11R | Assessment results have no impact on resource allocations. | 27\% | 54\% | 20\% |
| Q5_1 | Assessment is expected as part of my institution's continuous improvement process. | 46\% | 54\% | 0\% |
| Q5_12 | I clearly understand assessment processes in my department. | 44\% | 54\% | 2\% |
| Q17_10 | Change occurs more readily when supported by assessment results. | 27\% | 51\% | 22\% |
| Q26_10 | My division truly values student affairs staff involvement in assessment. | 20\% | 51\% | 29\% |
| Q5_8R | Assessment is a "necessary evil" in student affairs. | 49\% | 51\% | 0\% |
| Q15_2 | Official communications encourage assessment of student learning. | 29\% | 49\% | 22\% |
| Q26_12 | Engaging in assessment benefits my programs and services. | 20\% | 49\% | 32\% |
| Q26_2 | Assessment is vital to my division's future. | 22\% | 49\% | 29\% |
| Q27_9 | A recommended change is more likely to be enacted if it is supported by assessment data. | 39\% | 49\% | 12\% |
| Q5_2R | Assessment is an exercise primarily for compliance purposes. | 51\% | 49\% | 0\% |
| Q17_3 | Assessment data are regularly used in official communications (e.g., speeches, publications, etc.). | 29\% | 46\% | 24\% |
| Q17_9 | Upper student affairs administrators use assessment results in public ways (i.e., speeches, mark.. | 32\% | 46\% | 22\% |
| Q19_16 | Assessment results are meaningful to me. | 24\% | 46\% | 29\% |
| Q19_19R | I am told what assessments I must conduct. | 22\% | 46\% | 32\% |
| Q26_17R | The majority of student affairs staff in my division resist doing assessment. | 20\% | 46\% | 34\% |
| Q27_5 | Assessment is primarily the responsibility of upper student affairs administrators. | 41\% | 46\% | 12\% |
| Q27_7R | Assessment for accreditation purposes is prioritized above other assessment efforts. | 41\% | 44\% | 15\% |
| Q15_1 | Assessment results are regularly shared throughout my division. | 44\% | 39\% | 17\% |
| Q27_10 | Assessment is an organized, coherent effort in my division. | 46\% | 39\% | 15\% |
| Q17_7R | Assessment results are criticized for "going nowhere" (i.e., not leading to change). | 34\% | 37\% | 29\% |
| Q26_16 | The majority of student affairs staff in my division participate in program-level assessment. | 34\% | 34\% | 32\% |
| Q27_2R | There is no systematic approach to assessment in my division. | 51\% | 34\% | 15\% |
| Q15_4R | Student assessment results are NOT regularly shared with student affairs staff. | 54\% | 32\% | 15\% |
| Q26_15R | The majority of student affairs staff in my division are content to not know what assessments are occurring. | 32\% | 32\% | 37\% |
| Q15_5 | Assessment success stories are shared throughout my division. | 54\% | 29\% | 17\% |
| Q19_1R | The majority of colleagues in my division see assessment as focused on compliance requirements. | 44\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| Q15_6 | Student affairs staff consistently receive assessment data from administrators. | 54\% | 22\% | 24\% |
| Q27_8 | There are sufficient financial resources to make changes in my division. | 78\% |  | 20\% |

## II. All survey items

## Student Affairs responses to all items

Disagree Agree No response

| Q5 | Q5_9R | Assessment is conducted based on the whims of the people in charge. | 22\% | 78\% | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q5_7R | Assessments do not have clear focus. | 27\% | 73\% | 0\% |
|  | Q5_10 | Discussions about student learning are at the heart of my division's assessment effort. | 27\% | 71\% | 2\% |
|  | Q5_4 | The purpose of assessment is clearly understood at my institution. | 29\% | 71\% | 0\% |
|  | Q5_6 | Assessments of programs are typically connected back to student learning. | 29\% | 71\% | 0\% |
|  | Q5_11 | Assessment processes yield evidence of my division's effectiveness. | 32\% | 66\% | 2\% |
|  | Q5_5R | If assessment was not required, I would not be doing it. | 41\% | 59\% | 0\% |
|  | Q5_1 | Assessment is expected as part of my institution's continuous improvement process. | 46\% | 54\% | 0\% |
|  | Q5_12 | I clearly understand assessment processes in my department. | 44\% | 54\% | 2\% |
|  | Q5_8R | Assessment is a "necessary evil" in student affairs. | 49\% | 51\% | 0\% |
|  | Q5_2R | Assessment is an exercise primarily for compliance purposes. | 51\% | 49\% | 0\% |
|  | Q5_3R | The purpose of assessment depends largely on who is asking for assessment results. | 63\% | 37\% | 0\% |
| Q13 | Q13_2R | Faculty are in charge of assessment at my institution. | 7\% | 85\% | 7\% |
|  | Q13_4 | I can name the office at my institution that leads assessment efforts for accreditation purposes at my institution. | 46\% | 51\% | 2\% |
|  | Q13_5 | I can name the office at my institution that leads assessment efforts for student learning at my institution. | 44\% | 51\% | 5\% |
|  | Q13_3 | Upper Student Affairs Administrators have made clear their expectations regarding assessment. | 49\% | 49\% | 2\% |
|  | Q13_1 | It is clear who is ultimately in charge of assessment in my division. | 51\% | 46\% | 2\% |
| Q15 | Q15_3R | Assessment results are NOT intended for distribution. | 20\% | 59\% | 22\% |
|  | Q15_2 | Official communications encourage assessment of student learning. | 29\% | 49\% | 22\% |
|  | Q15_7 | Assessment results are available from administrators by request. | 17\% | 49\% | 34\% |
|  | Q15_1 | Assessment results are regularly shared throughout my division. | 44\% | 39\% | 17\% |
|  | Q15_9 | Communication of assessment results has been effective. | 41\% | 34\% | 24\% |
|  | Q15_4R | Student assessment results are NOT regularly shared with student affairs staff. | 54\% | 32\% | 15\% |
|  | Q15_5 | Assessment success stories are shared throughout my division. | 54\% | 29\% | 17\% |
|  | Q15_10 | I am aware of several assessment success stories (i.e. instances of assessment resulting in important changes) in my division. | 49\% | 27\% | 24\% |
|  | Q15_6 | Student affairs staff consistently receive assessment data from administrators. | 54\% | 22\% | 24\% |
|  | Q15_8 | Assessment results are regularly requested by student affairs staff in my division. | 51\% | 20\% | 29\% |

## Student Affairs responses to all items

Disagree Agree No response

| Q17 | Q17_6R | Administrators use assessment to punish student affairs staff members. | 10\% | 66\% | 24\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q17_2R | Assessment results are used to scare student affairs staff into compliance with what the administration wants. | 17\% | 59\% | 24\% |
|  | Q17_8R | There is pressure to reveal only positive results from assessment efforts. | 24\% | 54\% | 22\% |
|  | Q17_10 | Change occurs more readily when supported by assessment results. | 27\% | 51\% | 22\% |
|  | Q17_4 | Assessment data are used to identify to what extent student learning outcomes are met. | 22\% | 51\% | 27\% |
|  | Q17_5 | Assessment results are used for improvement. | 29\% | 49\% | 22\% |
|  | Q17_1 | Decisions are made using assessment data. | 32\% | 46\% | 22\% |
|  | Q17_3 | Assessment data are regularly used in official communications (e.g., speeches, publications, etc.). | 29\% | 46\% | 24\% |
|  | Q17_9 | Upper student affairs administrators use assessment results in public ways (i.e., speeches, marketing efforts, media stories, etc.). | 32\% | 46\% | 22\% |
|  | Q17_7R | Assessment results are criticized for "going nowhere" (i.e., not leading to change). | 34\% | 37\% | 29\% |
|  | Q17_11 | Assessment results in a fair depiction of what I do as a student affairs staff member. | 44\% | 32\% | 24\% |
|  | Q17_12 | Assessment results in an accurate depiction of what I do as a student affairs staff member. | 46\% | 27\% | 27\% |
| Q19 | Q19_9R | Assessment is a threat to program innovation. | 2\% | 73\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_12R | The majority of upper student affairs administrators do not care about assessment. | 7\% | 68\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_7R | I engage in assessment because I am afraid of what will happen if I do not. | 15\% | 61\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_8R | Assessment is perceived as a punishment (i.e., something I regret being assigned). | 15\% | 61\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_6R | It is difficult to get the majority of administrators to support assessment-based improvement efforts. | 15\% | 59\% | 27\% |
|  | Q19_18R | Upper student affairs administrators use assessment as a form of control (i.e. to regulate division-wide processes). | 22\% | 51\% | 27\% |
|  | Q19_3 | The majority of student affairs staff in my division see assessment as improving student learning. | 24\% | 51\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_13 | Assessment is a "good thing" for my institution to do. | 27\% | 49\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_4R | I am not convinced that assessment is necessary. | 27\% | 49\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_5 | The majority of upper student affairs administrators genuinely believe assessment supports student learning/development in my division. | 27\% | 49\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_16 | Assessment results are meaningful to me. | 24\% | 46\% | 29\% |
|  | Q19_17 | I support the ways in which upper student affairs administrators have used assessment in my division. | 29\% | 46\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_19R | I am told what assessments I must conduct. | 22\% | 46\% | $32 \%$ |
|  | Q19_2R | The majority of student affairs staff in my division are afraid of assessment. | 32\% | 44\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_10 | Assessment processes are clearly understood by a majority of administrators at my institution. | 34\% | 41\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_11 | The majority of student affairs staff are eager to work with faculty. | 34\% | 41\% | 24\% |
|  | Q19_14 | I assess programs \| lead. | 24\% | 34\% | 41\% |
|  | Q19_15 | I assess my department. | 27\% | 34\% | 39\% |
|  | Q19_1R | The majority of colleagues in my division see assessment as focused on compliance requirements. | 44\% | 27\% | 29\% |

## Student Affairs responses to all items

Disagree Agree Noresponse

| Q26 | Q26_1 | I have a generally positive attitude toward my division's culture of assessment. | 7\% | 61\% | 32\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q26_11R | Assessment is someone else's problem, not mine. | 12\% | 54\% | 34\% |
|  | Q26_20 | Without assessment, my institution would suffer. | 17\% | 54\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_3 | Assessment supports student learning in my division. | 15\% | 54\% | $32 \%$ |
|  | Q26_10 | My division truly values student affairs staff involvement in assessment. | 20\% | 51\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_6 | Assessment is the right thing to do for our students. | 20\% | 51\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_12 | Engaging in assessment benefits my programs and services. | 20\% | 49\% | $32 \%$ |
|  | Q26_13 | Assessment is a "good thing" for me to do. | 22\% | 49\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_14 | Assessment is a "good thing" for my division to do. | 20\% | 49\% | 32\% |
|  | Q26_2 | Assessment is vital to my division's future. | 22\% | 49\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_5 | Assessment makes a difference to student learning. | 22\% | 49\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_7R | I avoid doing assessment activities if I can. | 22\% | 49\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_17R | The majority of student affairs staff in my division resist doing assessment. | 20\% | 46\% | 34\% |
|  | Q26_8 | In general, I am eager to work with upper student affairs administrators. | 24\% | 46\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_18 | Assessment results are meaningful to most student affairs staff in my division. | 29\% | 41\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_19 | Without assessment, my division would suffer. | 29\% | 41\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_9R | I do not have time to engage in assessment efforts. | 32\% | 39\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_4 | I enjoy engaging in assessment efforts. | 34\% | 37\% | 29\% |
|  | Q26_16 | The majority of student affairs staff in my division participate in program-level assessment. | 34\% | $34 \%$ | 32\% |
|  | Q26_15R | The majority of student affairs staff in my division are content to not know what assessments are occurring. | 32\% | 32\% | 37\% |
| Q27 | Q27_3R | Assessment is primarily the responsibility of faculty members. | 15\% | 68\% | 17\% |
|  | Q27_4R | Assessment is primarily the responsibility of student affairs staff. | 17\% | 68\% | 15\% |
|  | Q27_1 | Assessment is emphasized as part of the division's culture. | 32\% | 56\% | 12\% |
|  | Q27_13 | Upper student affairs administrators are supportive of making changes. | 32\% | 56\% | 12\% |
|  | Q27_11R | Assessment results have no impact on resource allocations. | 27\% | 54\% | 20\% |
|  | Q27_9 | A recommended change is more likely to be enacted if it is supported by assessment data. | 39\% | 49\% | 12\% |
|  | Q27_5 | Assessment is primarily the responsibility of upper student affairs administrators. | 41\% | 46\% | 12\% |
|  | Q27_12 | Assessment is vital to my division's way of operating. | 39\% | 44\% | 17\% |
|  | Q27_7R | Assessment for accreditation purposes is prioritized above other assessment efforts. | 41\% | 44\% | 15\% |
|  | Q27_6 | My division is structured in a way that facilitates assessment practices focused on improved student learning. | 41\% | 41\% | 17\% |
|  | Q27_10 | Assessment is an organized, coherent effort in my division. | 46\% | 39\% | 15\% |
|  | Q27_2R | There is no systematic approach to assessment in my division. | 51\% | 34\% | 15\% |
|  | Q27_8 | There are sufficient financial resources to make changes in my division. | 78\% | \% | 20\% |

## III. Additional scale descriptive statistics

The table below displays descriptive statistics for each of the student affairs scales. Standard deviation is a measure of how widely dispersed the scores are. A low standard deviation indicates that scores are densely distributed close to the mean. A large standard deviation indicates that scores are dispersed at a wider range. Because not every student affairs staff member completed the survey, the results here are based on a sample. We then use sample results to estimate the population mean. The confidence intervals are estimates of the range of the population mean.

| Scale name | Average score | Scale standard <br> deviation | Lower bound <br> $(95 \%$ confidence) | Upper bound <br> (95\% confidence) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Communication Scale | 3.3 | 0.9 | 3.0 |  |
| Clear Commitment to Assessment Scale | 3.6 | 0.7 | 3.6 |  |
| Connection to Change Scale | 3.8 | 0.5 | 3.6 |  |
| Fear of Assesment Scale | 4.1 | 0.8 | 3.9 |  |

## IV. Analysis of missing data

There were 41 student affairs staff who began the survey. The number of missing values for survey items ranged from $0-17$. Due to the small number of survey respondents, missing data can represent a substantial proportion of the outcome ( 17 missing values out of 41 respondents is $41.6 \%$ ). Because this survey has a small number of respondents and relatively high proportion of missing values, it is important to use caution when making inferences about the population of student affairs staff at SCC.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fuller, Matthew B., \& Forrest C. Lane. An Empirical Model of Culture of Assessment in Student Affairs. Research \& Practice in Assessment. Volume 12. Winter 2017. pp. 18-27.

